Applicant: Sanchez, Eliezer

Serial No.: 10/625,862

September 14, 2006

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated April 14, 2006, reconsideration of this application is

requested. Claim 1 has been amended without prejudice and Claims 1-14 remain pending. No new

matter has been added.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 USC

Section 102, as being anticipated by Beason et al. ("Beason"). Notwithstanding the amendments to

the claims, Applicant submits that the original claims do not read on Beason and that Applicant's

invention as claimed is structurally and fundamentally distinct therefrom. It is axiomatic in any

proper Section 102 rejection that every element of the invention as claimed be contained in the cited

reference. Applicant submits that Beason fails to disclose every element of claims 1-10 and is

structurally distinct from Applicant's claimed invention. Beason discloses a combined global

positioning system (GPS) receiver and radio that communicates over a wireless radio network with at

least one other unit transmitting radio signals. Applicant discloses and claims a target locating

device having a global positioning system and plurality of tracking devices having a means for

determining and communicating directions of a route for reaching the determined location of the

target. As noted in the Office Action, Beason only discloses a means for determining and displaying

the location of another unit. Beason does not, however, disclose a means for determining and

communicating directions of a route for reaching the location of a target. Beason also fails to

disclose a means for concealing a target device, RF/IF converter, frequency systhesizer and reference

7

Applicant: Sanchez, Eliezer

Serial No.: 10/625,862

September 14, 2006

oscillator as disclosed and claimed by Applicant. Notwithstanding, Claim 1 has been amended for

clarity and to place this application in condition for allowance.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 11-14 under 35 USC

Section 103(a), as being anticipated by Beason in view of Lennen. Applicant incorporates the above

arguments under Section 102 with respect to this Section 103 rejection. Notwithstanding the

amendments to the claims, Applicant submits that claims 11-14 do not read on Beason in view of

Lennen and that Applicant's invention as claimed is structurally and fundamentally distinct

therefrom, individually and in combination. Lennen merely discloses an apparatus and method for

processing multiple frequencies in satellite navigation systems and not a target locating device

having a global positioning system and plurality of tracking devices having a means for determining

and communicating directions of a route for reaching the determined location of the target. In

addition, Beason and Lennen fail to suggest or motivate the proffered combination. In fact, Beason

and Lennon are structurally incompatible such that it would be impossible to combine them to arrive

at Applicant's claimed invention, even if the combination were suggested. It is axiomatic in any

proper Section 103 rejection that every element of the invention as claimed be contained or

suggested in the cited references combined, that there be a motivation for the combination and that it

be possible to combine their respective teachings. Applicant submits that the cited art is structurally

distinct from Applicant's claimed invention, fail to suggest or motivate Applicant's claimed

invention or the proffered combination and fail to render claims 11-14 obvious. Moreover, claim 1

8

Applicant: Sanchez, Eliezer

Serial No.: 10/625,862

September 14, 2006

as amended is in condition for allowance rendering the rejections of claims 11-14 moot.

Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

For the reasons indicated above, Applicant asserts that claims 1-14 patentably distinguish

Applicant's invention over the prior art of record, and are in condition for allowance. Applicant

respectfully requests that the above rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn since the overall

invention, as recited in Applicant's claims is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Please charge any fees owing and not covered by the enclosed payment to the undersigned's

deposit account No. 501708.

Should the Examiner have any concerns or comments, the undersigned would appreciate a

telephone conference in order to expedite this case

Respectfully submitted,

David P. Lhota, Reg. No.

Stearns Weaver Miller Weissler

Alhadeff & Sitterson, P.A.

Suite 2100, 200 East Las Olas Boulevard

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

(954) 462-9576

(954) 462-9567

dlhota@swmwas.com

9